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- Belong to vertebrate same as human
- Top consumers in many aquatic ecosystem $\Rightarrow$ rely on other organisms to balance the foodweb (phyto-/ zooplankton, macroinvertebrate)
- Excellent bioindicator
$\Rightarrow$ influenced by physical, chemical, \& biological factors
$\Rightarrow$ representing condition of an aquatic environment


## Fisheries Management

Organisms


Fisheries management depicted as three overlapping circles (from Kohler and Hubert 1993)

## Multilevel appoaches



Increasing response time
Increasing difficulty of linkage to specific chemicals(impact)
Increasing importance

## 1 Community-level Approaches

Case 1. Comparison of fish communities in Ledbetter Creek and Ledbetter Embayment, Kentucky Lake

Case 2. Determination of ecological change in fish for sustainable development in Geum River, Korea

Case 3. Environmental impact assessment on Hwabuk Dam construction, Korea

Case 4. Fishway Monitoring

Community
Population
Organism
Physiological
Biochemical
Impact

## Fish community analysis



Spatial distribution of abundant families of fish Five of the most abundant species


- Family rankings in the stream appeared to be the opposite of the littoral zone.

| Species | Stream <br> zone | Ecotone | Littoral <br> zone | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Guardian <br> darter | 0.72 <br> $(0.33-1.95)$ | 0 <br> $(0)$ | 0 <br> $(0)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Longear <br> sunfish | 0.285 <br> $(0.1-1.36)$ | 0 <br> $(0-0.09)$ | 0 <br> $(0-0.65)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Central <br> stoneroller | 0.13 <br> $(0-2.23)$ | 0 <br> $(0-0.2)$ | 0 <br> $(0)$ | $<0.001$ |
| Threadfin <br> shad | 0 <br> $(0)$ | 0 <br> $(0-0.05)$ | 0.025 <br> $(0-3.17)$ | $=0.001$ |
| Bullhead <br> minnow | 0 <br> $(0)$ | 0 <br> $(0-0.08)$ | 0.165 <br> $(0-1.33)$ | $<0.001$ |

Values represent median of mean fish density over months / trap. Numbers in parentheses indicate its range

- The five most abundant species seemed to have habitat selection.


## Habitat shift





## Hydrologic characteristics in Korea

## 回 Precipitation (1966-1996)

$\Theta$ Annual mean precipitation: 1,130.7mm
$\theta$ Its fluctuation is wide. (44.5 inches)
64\% of annual precipitation


## Study Area

국립환경과학원 ${ }^{+ \text {Pride }}$
National Institute of Enwironmental Research


Zonation of investigation sites

## Materials and Methods

## Field Investigation



- Backpack electrofishers are normally used for quantitative sampling in developed countries such as America, Europe, and Australia, and etc.


## Analysis

- Fish fauma and commanamilty Ecologically valuable Economically valuable e.g.- Number of sprecies

Relative abumedlause

- Relations to flow regime Positive/negative effect om certain species
- Further investigation

Examine physical preferences such as water current, depth, and substrate type when fishes are collected


## Fish Assemblage

- Total fish fauna in Geum River
- 20 families 82 species
- Before 2000 : 20 families 78 species
- 2002~2004: 12 families 58 species
(5 additional introduced species)

|  | Up- and Downstream of Yongdam Dam |  | Up- and Downstream of Daecheong Dam |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before 2000 | 2002~2004 | Before 2000 | 2002~2004 |
| Fauna | 11 family 49 species | 11 families 42 species | 20 families 76 species | 11 families 52 species |
| Natural monument species | 1 | 0 | O | 0 |
| Endangered species | 5 | 4 | 7 | 1 |
| Korean endemic species | 22 | 20 | 28 | 16 |
| Exotic species | O | 3 | 1 | 6 |

## Daecheong Dam (completed in 1980)

- Before 2000 - Total 20 families 76 species
- 2002 ~ 2004 - Total 12 families 52 species




## Flow Duration Curve

- Scenario : Before/After Yongdam Dam construction
- Increased low flow after Yongdam Dam construction
(Unit: $10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ Day $)$


| Whole Period | Abbreviation | With Youngdam Dam | Without Youngdam Dam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | Min | 369 | 99 |
| Maximum | Max | 132,386 | 121,661 |
| Percentile 10 | P 10 | $3,793.8$ | $4,897.7$ |
| Percentile 90 | P 90 | 441 | 313.7 |
| Mean | MDF | $2,498.1$ | $2,705.5$ |
| Median | Med | 694 | 780.5 |
| CV | CV | 3.05 | 2.88 |
| Standard Deviation | STD | $7,619.2$ | $7,797.7$ |
| Skweness | Skw | 3.6 | 3.5 | and ecological characteristics



## Effect of dam discharge



Monthly variation of air/water temperature


Reproduction of freshwater goby



## Selection of key species

- "Select key species", and compare their distribution between before and after dam construction


Endangered species


Korean endemic species


## Habitat for restoration



## Habitat investigation for key species

Korean J. Limnol. 42 (4): 495-501 (2009)
Preference of Physical Microhabitat on the $1^{\text {st }}$-class Endangered Species, Gobiobotia naktongensis inhabiting the Gam Stream, Tributary of the Nakdong River

Seo, Jinwon, Heesung Kim, Hye Suk Yi and Sun A Jeong

- Sieve analysis of substrate where the endangered species, Gobiobotia naktongensis was found

| May | gravel | coarse sand | fine sand | silt | clay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| St. 3 | 0.08 | 90.96 | 8.16 | 0 | 0 |
| St. 5 | 0 | 89.60 | 10.40 | 0 | 0 |
| St. 6 | 0.16 | 87.04 | 12.64 | 0 | 0 |
| St. 8 | 0.24 | 70.20 | 29.20 | 0 | 0 |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. 3 | 2.36 | 76.60 | 20.60 | 0 | 0 |
| St. 8 | 0.68 | 85.92 | 13.00 | 0 | 0 |

Velocity: $0.239 \sim 0.585 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$
圆 Depth : $0.14 \sim 0.46 \mathrm{~m}$
R Substrate : Mostly coarse sand ( $>0.425 \mathrm{~mm}$ )
They prefer shallow run in sandy bottom


## Artificial propagation

- Collect brood fish from the same watersheds
- Induce artificial sex maturation with manipulation of photoperiod and water temperature
- Embryo development and hatching (9 days in black shiner w/ $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )
- Food source for juvenile : Artemia, daphnids
- keep and maintain good water quality



## Monitoring of restored fish

## Investigation



|  | 2005 |  | 2006 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before <br> release | After <br> release | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |
| Black shinner | - | 12 | 1 | 10 | 8 | - |
| Korean aucha perch | - | 6 | - | 1 |  | 1 |
| Family | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| Species | 15 | 15 | 9 | 16 | 15 | 16 |

- Total 7 families 20 species including 11 species (55\%) of Korean endemic species

Underwater camera


Interview on TV
(TJB, 2006.8.3)

## Environmental Impact Assessment

+ Pride


## Korean J. Limnol. 42 (2) : 260-269 (2009)

## A Study of Fish Community on Up and Downstream of Hwabuk Dam Under Construction in the Upper Wie Stream. Seo, Jinwon land Heesung Kim (Korea Institurte of Water and Environment (KIVE), Korea Water Resources Corporation, Daejeon 305-730, Korea)



Map of the study sites. Dam is urnder coristruction and the grey line indicates expencted area submerg-

A list of fish species and number of individuals caught from the sampling sites from 2004 to 2008.

| Specties | English name | Year |  |  |  |  | Total | RA | Re. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |  |  |  |
| Cyprinidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carassius auratus | Crusian carp | 13 | 3 | 32 | 12 | 5 | 65 | 0.63 |  |
| Pungrungla herzi | Striped shinner | 52 | 4.9 | 44 | 20 | 56 | 221 | 2.15 |  |
| Coreoleuciscus splendidus | Korean shinner | 24 | 81 | 37 | 132 | 142 | 416 | 4.05 | K |
| Squalidus gracilis majimae | Korean slender gudgeon | 52 | 112 | 64 | 93 | 173 | 49.4 | 4.81 | K |
| Hemilbarbus longirustris | Long nuse barbel |  | 27 | 21 | 6 | 7 | 61 | 0.59 |  |
| $P_{\text {seudagabio esocinus }}$ | Goby minnow |  |  |  | 13 |  | 13 | 0.13 |  |
| Microphysogobio yaluensis | - | 70 | 76 | 17 |  | 17 | 180 | 1.75 | K |
| Rhynchocypris axycephaius | Chinese minnow | 335 | 280 | 142 | 127 | 204 | 1,088 | 10.60 |  |
| Zasco koreants | Koreanchub | 1,002 | 1,716 | 980 | 1,343 | 1,370 | 6,417 | 62.53 | K |
| Zacco platypus Cobitidae | Pale chub | 1 | 80 | 83 | 93 | 81 | 338 | 3.29 |  |
| Misgurnus anguillicaudatus | Muddy loach |  | 7 | 3 |  | 6 | 16 | 0.16 |  |
| Karescobilis naktongensis | Naktorg nose loach |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 5 | 0.05 | E, K |
| Cobitis sinensis | Spine loach | 5 | 24 | 44 | 37 | 31 | 141 | 1.37 |  |
| Nivaella multifasciata Siluridae | Eastern spine loach | 14 | 47 | 87 | 36 | 51 | 235 | 2.29 | K |
| Sifurus microdorsalis Amblycipitidae | Slender catish |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0.02 | K |
| Liahagrus medtiadiposalis Odontobutidae | South torrent catfish | 30 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 59 | 0.57 | K |
| Odontubutis platycephala Gobiidae | Korean dark sleeper | 75 | 45 | 90 | 94 | 127 | 431 | 4.20 | K |
| Rhinogobius brunneus Belontiidae | Common freshwater goby | 45 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 80 | 0.78 |  |
| Macrupodus ocellatus | Roundtailed paradise fish |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 0.01 |  |
| Number of family |  | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 7 |  |  |
| Number of species |  | 13 | 18 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 19 |  |  |
| Number of individuals |  | 1,718 | 2.563 | 1,681 | 2.017 | 2.284 | 10.263 |  |  |

[^0]
## Community analysis



Dominance index decreased toward lower site, but
diversity and richness indices increased toward lower site

Stream health assessment


Stream health assessment with index of biotic integrity (IBI). A: Excellent (36~40), B: Good (26~35), C: Fair (16~25), D: Poor ( $\leq 15$ ). Index of biotic integrity (IBI) using eight metrics resulted mostly in good and excellent condition in all sites.

## Ice harbor type fishway

- Pool type ice-harbor
- 1:20(height : length) slope
- about $1.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathbf{s}$ water current
- diץjidadintoifix spillyzays (2004: 24 sp. $\Rightarrow$ 2008: 12 sp .)
- Various size distribution between 21 mm (black bullhead) and 550 mm (far eastern catfish)
- Study and acquire fundamental data on swimming ability by species and size
- Maximum of fish movement in 18~21 o'clock (47.8\%)
- Related amount of fish movement with water temperature




## Crane-truck fishway

- found total 7 families 22 species:
 Anguillidae(1), Cyprinidae(15), Cobitidae(2), Osmeridae(1), Gobiidae(1) Centropomidae(1), Odontobutidae(1),
- Z. platypus is dominant( $90 \%$ in number)
- found a fish as small as 30 mm TL
- found movement of lentic \& benthic sp.
- studied swimming ability by species and size with velocity measurement



Operate fishway and Navigation lock for fish migration

Fishway



## ※ FISH LOCKING?

Fish movement program( $6 \sim 8$ times per day)


## Monitoring method



Acouslic System
(ER60, SIMRAD)


Bottom Surface
Fishway monitoring
Fish locking monitoring(Top: netting, bottom: Acoustic camera)

## 2 <br> Population-level Approaches

Case 1. Monitoring of a fluvial bull trout in Arrowrock Reservoir, Idaho, USA

Case 2. Investigation of largemouth bass with a sportfishing tournament in Andong Reservoir, Korea

## Survival related

- age-specific survival rates
- year-class strength
- age structure
- catch per unit effort
- density or abundance
- mean age
- maximum age
- recruitment indices


## Growth related

- mean weight-at-age
- allometric relationships
- size structure
- condition factor
- proximate body composition
- mean length-at-age
- specific growth rate
- liver somatic index
- incidence of parasites


## Reproduction related

- age-at-maturity
- reproductive life span
- gonad somatic index
- incidence of atresia
- fecundity
- sex ratio
- egg size
- spawning frequency


## Bull trout as a threatened species



## Others in Arrowrock Reservoir

Pride

## Largemouth bass (introduced species)

> Purpose : Investigation of introduced species, largemouth bass in Andong Resevoir
> Supported by Korean Sportfishing Association(KSA)
> Examination: growth states (growth rate and condition factor)
Index of well-being : reproduction states (gonad somatic index, fecundity)

Condition factor (CF)
$K=\left(T W / L^{3}\right) X 10{ }^{5}$


Length-weight relationship


Condition factor


Change of gonad somatic index(GSI) by time and sex


GSI $=$ Gonad weight/Total weight $\times 100$

Fecundity of female largemouth bass
<7 May 2006>

| Total <br> length | Total <br> weight | Gonad <br> weight | Estimated <br> eggs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 318 | 525 | 30 | 25,077 |
| 319 | 475 | 4 | 6,820 |
| 328 | 515 | 7 | 5,409 |
| 353 | 760 | 49 | 40,157 |
| 430 | 1,100 | 70 | 47,308 |
| 467 | 1,770 | 74 | 59,239 |
| 492 | 2,005 | 137 | 116,134 |


| Total <br> length | Total <br> weight | Gonad <br> weight | Estimated <br> eggs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 385 | 820 | 46.5 | 16,740 |
| 411 | 1,090 | 29.1 | 13,367 |
| 429 | 1,150 | 29.3 | 17,114 |
| 475 | 1,400 | 40 | 61,677 |
| 505 | 1,985 | 46 | 26,279 |
| 536 | 2,270 | 67 | 44,859 |
| 555 | 2,710 | 113.8 | 59,440 |

Comparison of length-weight relationship


Fecundity of Michigan largemouth bass (Laarman and Schneider 1985)

$\log _{10} \operatorname{egg}=0.4254+3.2857 \log _{10}($ length in cm$)$

## Organism-level Approaches

Case 1. Effect of turbid water on fish ecology in streams and reservoirs in Korea

## Cause-and Effect



## Typical human activity



Bank revetment

Dredging


## 

为欺


회색으로 멍든 강물이 힘겹게 흐른다

Stream rehabilitation

Eco-park construction

## Impact on aquatic ecosystem due to dredging

## Respiration Difficulty in fish due to turbid water

Sediment particle can clog fish gill.
Reduction of species diversity by habitat degradation

Continuous dredging in particular area causes avoidance and even less population of some sensitive fish


## Effects of turbidity on fish

## Habitat degradation and decline of fish production

Direct and Indirect

S Gill trauma
8 Blood: cortisol
© Osmoregulation

## Relation with other factors

National Institute of Enwironmental Research


## Histopathological analysis



Tracheal gills of Siphlonurus chankae (Ephemeroptera) in control (top) and turbid (bottom) sites


Gill of goldfish (Carassius auratus) in control (left) and turbid (right) sites ( $\times 200$, SEM)

$2^{\text {nd }}$ gill lamella of goldfish (Carassius auratus) in control (left) and turbid (right) sites ( $\times 1000$, SEM)

```
Korean J. Limnoll. 41 (4): 431~440 (2008)
Effects of Turbid VVater on Fish Ecology in Streams
and Dam Reservoirs
```


## Lower-level Approaches

Case 1. Study on effect(s) to fish by burning reeds in constructed wetland, Korea

Case 2. Ecological risk assessment of abandoned mine drainages for managing water quality in streams, Korea

## Physiological indicator


catching fish $\Rightarrow$ collecting blood $\Rightarrow$ performing analysis








- Cortisol, Glucose : relatea to stress
- little increased but not significantly

AST, ALT : related to enzyme activity in liver - little increased but not significantly
$\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Cl}$ : related to cytosol

- little increased except for $\mathrm{K}^{+}$but not significantly


## Biochemical indicator

Abandoned mine \& Smelting factory drainage - low pH (high acidity)

- high metal concentration (mostly Fe, Al, Mn)

- Increased sulfate level, suspended solids, \& siltation


## (Metallothionein (MT) Assay)



## Homogenate

- Centrifuge at $10,000 \mathrm{~g} 10 \mathrm{~min}$


Saturate with $\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$
Remove the excess of Cd
-Centrifuge at $10,000 \mathrm{~g} 10 \mathrm{~min}$
Supernatant


## Effects of water quality

Case 1. Influences of seasonal rainfall on water quality near the intake tower of Daechung Reservoir, Korea

Case 2. Best management practices for improving water quality in inland fisheries, USA

Case 3. Characteristics of water quality and fish presence and distribution in streams/rivers, Korea

Case 4. Use of Chinese bleak in embryo and sac-fry stages toxicity test with zinc

Case 5. Ecological risk assessment of abandoned mine drainages for management of water quality in streams

## Water quality in Limnology

Korean J. Limnol. 34 (4): 327~336 (2001)


Temporal variation of water quality parameters

## Influences of Seasonal Rainfall on Physical, Chemical and Biological Conditions Near the Intake Tower of Taechung Reservoir

An, Kwang-Guk+ Jinwon Seo and Seok Soon Park

|  | Prec. | TN | TP | TN/TP | Chl-a | TSS | NVSS | VBS | Temp | pH | DO | Cond. | Turb. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TN | 0.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TP | 0.76 | 0.58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{TN} / \mathrm{TP}$ | -0.58 | $-0.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chl-a | $0.71$ | $\text { i. } .3 \text { 汭 }$ | $081$ | -0.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TSS | 0.47 | (6.3) | \% \%8\% | -0.69 | 0.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NVSS | -0.11 | -0.53 | 0.05 | -0.44 | -0.09 | 0.44 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VSS | 0.59 | 0.68 | 0.86 | $-0.50$ | 0.89 | 0.84 | -0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temp | 0.60 | 0.08 | 0.79 | -0.90 | 0.53 | 0.58 | 0.33 | 0.44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| pH | 0.64 | 0.22 | 0.79 | $-0.76$ | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.04 | 0.58 | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |
| DO | -0.38 | 0.03 | -0.55 | 0.66 | -0.44 | -0.44 | $-0.32$ | -0.28 | $-0.87$ | -0.48 |  |  |  |
| Cond. | -0.17 | -0.48 | $-0.02$ | -0.17 | -0,45 | 0.07 | 0.28 | -0.09 | 0.09 | $0.36$ | 0.08 |  |  |
| Turb. | 0.64 | 0.65 | 0.90 | -0.58 | 0.85 | 0.89 | 0.06 | 0.95 | 0.50 | 0.65 | $-0.27$ | 0.02 |  |
| SD | -0.72 | $-0.32$ | -0.80 | 0.79 | $-0.67$ | -0.78 | -0.28 | -0.69 | -0.65 | -0.66 | 0.37 | $-0.04$ | -0.74 |

${ }^{4}$ Prec. $=$ Precipitation, Temp $=$ Water temperature, Cond. $=$ Conductivity, Turh. $=$ Turbidity, SD $=$ Secchi depth
Correlation coefficients among the water quality parameters


## Regression analyses of chlorophyll-a against TN and TP

## Water quality in Aquaculture



1. Channel catfish industry
2. Current management practices
3. Environmental concerns
4. Best management practices (BMPs)

## JOURNAL OF THE

WORLD AQUACULTURE SOCIETY

Dry-Tilling of Pond Bottoms and Calcium Sulfate Treatment for Water Quality Improvement

```
Jinwon Seo and Claude E. Boyd
```

Deparment of Fisheries and Allied Aquacultures, Aubum University, Alabuma 36849 USA

##  <br> ELSEVIER

Aquacultural Enginecring 25 (2001) 83-97
aquacultural engineering
www,elsevier.nl/locate/aqua-online
Effects of bottom soil management practices on water quality improvement in channel catfish

Ictalurus punctatus ponds
Jinwon Seo Claude E. Boyd *
Departmen of Fisheries and Allied Aqwacultures, Auburn Unversaty, Auburn. AL 36849-5419, USA

Mechanism of water quality improvement with physical and chemical management practices

Vol. 32, No. 3 September, 2001


Gypsum $\left(\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}\right)$ Application

of phosphorus

> Precipitation of Calcium Phosphate

## Water quality criteria

## Korean J. Limmol. 41 (3) $=283 \sim 293$ (2008)

Status of Fish Inhabitation and Distribution of Eight Abundant Species in Relation with VVater Quality in Streams and Rivers, Ulsan City, Seo, Jmwon, In-Soo Lim, Hojoon Kim and Hye Kewn Lee (Korea Institurte of Water and Enviromminent, Norea Water Resources Corporation, Daejeon 305-730, Korea)


1. Total 44 sampling sites
2. Water sampling and analysis

- Period : Mar, May, Aug, \& Oct 2006

3. Fish collection

- Period : Aug 2006
- Gears : cast net and kick net


Range of fish presence vs. water quality with vertical box plot

## Water quality criteria

| Class | State | $\mathbf{p H}$ | DO <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | BOD <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | SS <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | TP <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very <br> Good | Good | $6.5 \sim 8.5$ | $\geq 7.5$ | $\leq 1$ | $\leq 25$ | $\leq 0.01$ |
| Fairly <br> Good | $6.5 \sim 8.5$ | $\geq 5.0$ | $\leq 2$ | $\leq 25$ | $\leq 0.02$ |  |
| Fair | $6.5 \sim 8.5$ | $\geq 5.0$ | $\leq 3$ | $\leq 25$ | $\leq 0.03$ |  |
| Fairly |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poor | $6.5 \sim 8.5$ | $\geq 5.0$ | $\leq 5$ | $\leq 25$ | $\leq 0.05$ |  |
| Poor | $6.0 \sim 8.5$ | $\geq 2.0$ | $\leq 8$ | $\leq 100$ | $\leq 0.1$ |  |
| Very | $6.0 \sim 8.5$ | $\geq 2.0$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 0.15$ |  |  |
| Poor |  | $<2.0$ | $>10$ |  | $>0.15$ |  |



## Zinc toxicity test



Continuous flow-through system supplying test solution


Embryo vessels suspended in control and test aquaria

Dong Hyuk Yeom ${ }^{\prime \prime}$
4. chinensis(Aphyocypris chinensis)

O. Iatipes(Oryzias latipes)


Fig. 1. Embryo and sac-fry survival of $A$. chinensis and $O$.
Fig. 1. Embryo and sac-fiy survival of A. chinensis and $O$.
Zatipes exposed to zinc. Data are given as mean $\pm$
SD; $n-3$. *Significantly different fiom the control SD; $n-3$. *Significantly different fiom the control $(p \leq 0.05)$


Table 1. Body length and weight of $A$. chinensis exposed to

| zinc |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concentration <br> (mg/L) | Sac-fry <br> survival <br> (\%) | Length <br> (mm <br> individual) | Weight <br> (mivial <br> indivial) |
| Control | $88.9 \pm 4.9$ | $4.46 \pm 0.20$ | $0.15 \pm 0.05$ |
| 0.1 | $77.8 \pm 12.7$ | $4.33 \pm 0.17^{*}$ | $0.18 \pm 0.06$ |
| 0.4 | $72.2 \pm 25.5$ | $4.26 \pm 0.18^{*}$ | $0.19 \pm 0.06$ |
| 1.4 | $0^{*}$ | - | - |
| 4.5 | $0^{*}$ | - | - |
| 14.5 | $0^{*}$ | - | - |

Bata are oxprocosed ax moan $+\mathrm{SD} ; \mathrm{n} \geqslant 3$
*Significantly different from the control( $p \leq 0.05$ )
Table 2. Body length and weight of $O$. Latipes exposed to zinc

| Concentration <br> (mgL) | Sac-fry <br> sarvival <br> (\%) | Length <br> (mmm/ <br> mdividual) | Weight <br> (mg <br> individual) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | $93.9 \pm 10.5$ | $4.54 \pm 0.23$ | $0.30 \pm 0.07$ |
| 0.1 | $100 \pm 0$ | $4.62 \pm 0.17$ | $0.29 \pm 0.11$ |
| 0.4 | $100 \pm 0$ | $457 \pm 0.22$ | $0.29 \pm 0.09$ |
| 1.4 | $78.2 \pm 22.8$ | $4.57 \pm 0.22$ | $0.26 \pm 0.09$ |
| 4.5 | $72.7 \pm 9.1$ | $4.56 \pm 0.21$ | $0.25 \pm 0.08$ |
| 14.5 | $0 *$ | - |  |

Data are enpresod as mean $t S D ; n \geq 3$
*Significantly different from the control ( $0 \leq 0.05$ )
Table 3. Comparison of the sensitivity to zinc (mg/L) in embryo-sac firy toxicity tests of $A$. chinemsis and 0. latipes

| Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LC } C_{50} \\ & (95 \% \text { confidence } \\ & \text { limita }) \end{aligned}$ | Effect concentration |  | MATC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NOEC | LOEC |  |
| A. chinensis | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ (0.5-0.8) \end{gathered}$ | $<0.1$ | 10.1 | $<0.1$ |
| Q. Iaripes | $\begin{gathered} 4.8 \\ (3.8-6.1) \end{gathered}$ | 45 | 14.5 | 8.1 |

## Environmental hormone test

- Test species

Japanese ricefish (Oryzias Latipes)

- Test substance

BisphenolA (BPA), Nonylphenol (NP)

- Exposure design (Partial life-cycle test)

| Parameter | Test conditons |
| :---: | :---: |
| Test type | Continuous flow-through system |
| Duration | Embryos(24-hr post-fertilization) <br> to 60-days post-hatch |
| Test concentration <br> (ppb) | Control <br> Positive control (E $2,0.5 ~ p p b)$ <br> BPA(1.2 ppb) + NP(1.0 ppb) <br> BPA(80 ppb) + NP(6.0 ppb) <br> BPA(400 ppb) + NP(12 ppb) <br> BPA(2000 ppb) + NP(24 ppb) |
| 22~26 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |

- Continuous flow-through system



# Case Study of Multilevel Approaches 



| IET | Intagrity Class | Charactoristics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53-55 | Excellent | Comparable to pristine conditions, exceptional assernblage of species. |
| $43-47$ | Good | Decreased species tichness, intolerant species in particular; Sensitive speciespresent |
| 35-39 | Fair | Intolorant and sensitive spacios absont; skewod trophic structura |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | Poor | Top carnivores and many expected species are absent or rate; ornmivore and tolerant species are peneral. |
| 8-17 | Very Poor | Few species and individuals present; tolerant species dominated; diseased fish frequently |

Upstream
IBI $=47$
(Good)
Downistream
IBI $=17$
(Verypor)

| Site | Discharge <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} /\right.$ day $)$ | Onyzias <br> latipes |  |  | Daphnia <br> magna |  | Lemna <br> gibba |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1st | 2nd | 1st | 2nd | 1st | 2nd |  |  |
|  | $521,755 \sim 907,927$ | N/E | N/E | N/E | N/E | $<6$ | 12 |  |  |
| WTP | $52,930 \sim 60,710$ | N/E | N/E | N/E | N/E | $<6$ | $<6$ |  |  |

Biological parameters from the fish collected

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Site } \\ (\text { water T } \mathrm{C}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Length } \\ & (\mathrm{TL}, \mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Total Weight (TW, g) | Condition factor (CF) | Gonadosomatic Index (GSI, \%) | Hepatosomatic Index (HSI, \%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```April Non-polluted (15) Polluted (15)``` | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 6 \\ & 3: 5 \end{aligned}$ | M:16.1 <br> F:17.6 <br> M:17.3 <br> F: 20.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M:63.7 } \\ & \text { F:93.8 } \\ & \text { M:93.9 } \\ & \text { F:204.0 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M:1.52 } \\ & \text { F:1.67 } \\ & M: 1.71 \\ & F: 1.96 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.62 \\ & 8.93 \\ & 2,06 \\ & 15.71 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \\ & 2.64 \\ & 2.51 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| May <br> Non-polluted (22) Polluted (23) | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 10 \\ & 1: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}: 14.7 \\ \mathrm{~F}: 15.1(18.7) \\ \mathrm{M}: 28.5 \\ \mathrm{~F}: 24.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}: 48.3 \\ \mathrm{~F}: 68.5(111) \\ \mathrm{M}: 334.4 \\ \mathrm{~F}: 270.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} M: 1.50 \\ \mathrm{~F}: 1.63(1.57) \\ M: 1.44 \\ \mathrm{~F}: 1.74 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M}: 3.99 \\ \mathrm{~F}: 5.69(10.01) \\ \mathrm{M}: 3.92 \\ \mathrm{~F}: 13.19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} M: 1.59 \\ \mathrm{~F}: 2.14(2.20) \\ M: 1.93 \\ \mathrm{~F}: 3.00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| June <br> Non-polluted (22) <br> Polluted (24) | $3: 13$ $4: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & M: 16.0 \\ & F: 15.5 \\ & M: 16.6 \\ & F: 16.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M: } 69.1 \\ & \text { F:61.4 } \\ & \text { M: } 80.4 \\ & \text { F:84.8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{M}: 1.63 \\ & \mathrm{~F}: 1.59 \\ & \mathrm{M}: 1.73 \\ & \mathrm{~F}: 1.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.91 \\ & 3.72 \\ & 1.18 \\ & 1.29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.22 \\ & 1.75 \\ & 3.35 \\ & 3.06 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |



Cornet Parameter Method

- Head DNA(\%), Tail DNA(\%), Tail Length( $\mu$ M), Tail Extent Moment


## Empirical Score Method

Tail DNA(\%)

| Approsimate percentase <br> DNA intail | Damase category <br> allocsted | Score <br> allocated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | No | 0 |
| $1-20$ | Low | 1 |
| $21-50$ | Med | 2 |
| $51-99$ | High | 3 |
| 100 | complete | 4 |

Reference: Wilson etal., (1998)



Necropsy-Based Fish Population Health Assessment


Enzyme activity by EROD - from fish liver


## Conclusion

## 1 Conservation and restoration of fish ecology

- Determination of declined fish species and population


## 2 Prevention of factors causing fish decline

e Restriction of unnecessary in-stream constructions: Physical

- Reduction of point \& nonpoint pollution sources : Chemical
- Elimination of illegal fish releases : Biological

3 Periodic- and systematic monitoring of fish
O High-level : Population- and community-level approaches

- Low-level : Molecular-, biochemical-, organism-level approaches


[^0]:    K: Korean endemic species, E: Endangered species, RA: Relatlve abundance, Re: Remark

